## Exhibit A

Page 1

Highly Confidential

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

----X

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY Case No. 07-CV-8383 (LAP)(HP) COMMISSION,

Plaintiff

v.

BLOOMBERG L.P.,

Defendant.

JILL PATRICOT, TANYS LANCASTER,
JANET LOURES, and MONICA PRESTIA,

Plaintiff-Intervenors

-against-

BLOOMBERG L.P.,

Defendant. -----X

Volume I

December 15, 2009 New York, New York

Videotaped deposition of DR. EUGENE BORGIDA, taken on behalf of the Defendants, at Jones Day, 222 East 41st Street, New York, New York, commencing at 9:13 a.m., December 15, 2009, before Anthony Armstrong, a Notary Public and Certified Court Reporter of the State of New York.

Page 15 1 Highly Confidential that you were trying to cover before your 3 deposition today? Α. The only other issue that surfaces in the Faigman article is this issue about general causation, and that's discussed in that piece by Faigman, et al. Q. You said that in preparation for your deposition, you also went back over some case 10 material; is that right? 11 I went over the -- I went over the 12 excerpts that I used in the report. I didn't go 13 back over any new material. I did review the 14 expert reports -- the other expert reports 15 quickly before I came in. But that was -- that 16 was the only sort of primary source that I --17 that I really reviewed. 18 Q. Which other expert reports did you 19 have the opportunity to review? 20 Α. I read the three statistical experts, Linear, I believe, Ward and Johnson or Johnston. 21 22 I can't remember. I read them quickly. 23 Q. Why did you take the opportunity to 24 read the statistical reports? 25 I was curious to see what was in Α.

Page 16 1 Highly Confidential them. 3 Q. Does it have any bearing on your 4 expert opinion in this case? Α. No. 6 0. And then you -- I'm sorry. Strike 7 the question. Did you go over any other of the case 9 materials beyond the expert reports and the 10 excerpts that you used in your report in 11 preparation for your deposition today? 12 Α. I did not. 13 Q. Finally, you said you met with Kam 14 Wong at the EEOC yesterday; is that right? 15 Α. Correct. 16 What time did that meeting begin? Q. 17 Α. I believe that began at 9:30. 18 And how long did it last? Q. 19 I believe I left around 4:30. Α. 20 0. Were there any documents that 21 Ms. Wong showed you during that prep session? 22 The only documents she showed me, she Α. 23 held up a file of material that she had sent to 24 you. I didn't see what those documents were. 25 She just held up the file and said that this

Page 85 1 Highly Confidential your mind, based on your expert opinion, that 3 gender stereotypic thinking influenced decisions at Bloomberg, would you allow for the fact that there's a 49 percent chance that it did not affect thinking at Bloomberg? MS. WONG: Objection. Misstates testimony. 9 I said earlier that it's a Α. Yeah. 10 probabilistic statement. And so I didn't 11 quantify that. I was just throwing that out to 12 convey to you that it was less than your 100 13 percent but greater than 50. So I'm not -- I 14 don't have any way of, you know, doing a neural 15 imaging study that will allow me to quantify it. 16 So it is -- it is certain -- "more 17 likely than not" does imply, as I said earlier, 18 that there's a chance that it didn't have an 19 influence. 20 BY MR. DeLORME: 21 Now, when you say that gender 22 stereotypic thinking more likely than not 23 influenced decisions at Bloomberg, are you 24 suggesting that it's more likely than not in 25 every department of Bloomberg?

Page 86 1 Highly Confidential I was referring to the organization Α. 3 at large, and to the -- there are different 4 aspects of Bloomberg, and there was testimony from people who resided in different parts of Bloomberg, and Bloomberg is worldwide. So I was referring to the organization at large and not zoning in on or claiming that it was -- resided 9 in a particular group. So I was referring to the 10 organization at large. 11 And there was testimony from different 12 organizations, from news and tradebooks and 13 operations, et cetera. So I didn't qualify it. 14 Q. And how did you measure that? 15 MS. WONG: Objection. 16 Α. Measure what? 17 BY MR. DeLORME: 18 Q. Measure the -- the probability 19 across, you know, the entire company that more 20 likely than not gender -- gender stereotypic 21 thinking influenced decisions at Bloomberg? 22 what means did you measure that statement? 23 Α. It's --24 Objection. MS. WONG: 25 Sorry. It's an expression of an Α.

Page 90 1 Highly Confidential that you have listed in your report --3 Α. Correct. Q. -- that you reviewed, right? 5 Yes, I'm sorry. Yeah. Correct. Α. 6 Q. Okay. And --Okay. Going back to your statement about gender stereotypic thinking more likely than 9 not influencing decisions at Bloomberg. Would 10 that apply to every manager at Bloomberg? 11 MS. WONG: Objection. 12 I think it applies to -- in theory, 13 to individuals who are making decisions about 14 these kinds of employees; that, in theory, 15 individuals are affected by that way of thinking. 16 Some perhaps more than others. 17 I made it clear that there are a 18 variety of factors that have a bearing on whether 19 some people are going to be more or less likely to 20 think that way. 21 I don't know if every -- you know, I 22 didn't go through each and every manager, you 23 know, and assess them in that regard. I made a 24 statement that there was enough material there to 25 illustrate these ideas that the question of

Page 98 1 Highly Confidential speaking about an event that occurred in 2005 3 or 2003 or 2002 or 2007 whether, in fact, that 4 happened. I just read what was on the record and assumed that there was this period of time that defined all such claims. 6 7 BY MR. DeLORME: 8 Q. As you sit here today, can you 9 provide examples of gender stereotypic thinking 10 that occurred in each of the months from 11 February 2002 to December 2008? 12 MS. WONG: Objection. 13 Α. No, I can't roll that out because I 14 don't think that the materials I was sent would 15 even be amenable to that. And that wasn't --16 wasn't my goal. That wasn't the purpose of 17 why -- why I was reviewing the materials, first 18 of all. 19 But I don't even -- even if it was, I 20 don't think the data, quote/unquote, the case 21 material would lend itself by a month-by-month 22 rollout of who said what and what month. I don't 23 think that that would even be possible to do based 24 on what I saw. Maybe I'm wrong about that. 25

Page 99 1 Highly Confidential 2 BY MR. DeLORME: 3 Q. Sorry, I didn't mean to cut you off. 4 Are you finished with your answer? Α. Yeah. Okay. Could you do it based on year Q. Can you provide examples today of gender stereotypic thinking that happened in each 9 of the years from 2002 to 2008? 10 Objection. MS. WONG: 11 I would not be able to do that as I Α. 12 sit here today. I'd have to go back to the 13 materials and then systematically re-review all 14 of those materials and take copious notes of what 15 was said when, and then put them in a spreadsheet 16 and then sort of rotate that so that I have some 17 sort of, you know, printout of what was said 18 when -- what was allegedly said when, to whom, 19 and under what circumstances. And I didn't do 20 that. BY MR. DeLORME: 21 22 Q. With respect to your opinion that 23 gender stereotypic -- gender stereotypic thinking 24 more likely than not influenced decisions at 25 Bloomberg, would that be applicable to every

Page 105 1 Highly Confidential gender stereotyping and prejudice literature that 3 specifically investigates what are the beliefs that people hold about the women, but women who are mothers or were pregnant. And I was trying to go from that work, which is part of that general field, to thinking about the specific -- you know, the specific context here which involves employees 9 who are mothers and pregnant. 10 But there is a body of work that 11 that's a part of that pertains to gender 12 stereotypes about women, you know, who are not 13 mothers or pregnant. 14 So did you focus in this study on the Q. 15 effects of the literature and potential gender 16 stereotyping and biases associated with women who 17 became pregnant during the class period of 18 Bloomberg? 19 MS. WONG: Objection. 20 First of all, I didn't do a study. 21 But in this report, I focused on the general 22 literature and the subset of the literature that 23 pertains to women who are -- to motherhood which 24 is, as I said, in some people's minds the 25 prototypic stereotypic -- stereotype.

Page 106 1 Highly Confidential And I don't know from the materials 3 that I sent -- and this may be the most specific 4 answer to your question. I am not sure, of the individuals whose material I read, I don't know who became pregnant during that period of time. I'd have to go back through and I'd have to, again, log in, you know, whose pregnancy started 9 when and, et cetera. 10 So I didn't, you know, take notes and 11 sort of track those characteristics, you know, the 12 starting point, when someone gave birth, when they 13 announced their pregnancy, what have you. I just 14 encountered testimony along those lines. So I 15 can't tell you exactly who became pregnant, who 16 was a mother before or after. I wasn't tracking 17 the characteristics of those -- of the claimants, 18 for example, in that way. 19 BY MR. DeLORME: 20 0. Given what you just said, are you 21 able to make -- or tender an opinion as to 22 whether or not gender stereotypic thinking more 23 likely than not influenced decisions with respect 24 to women who became pregnant from 2002 to 2006 at 25 Bloomberg?

Page 110

1 Highly Confidential that, as I have already stated. But in theory, 3 when you see someone -- when you know that one is a mother, if you see that person show up with their children or if you see that someone is pregnant or find out about it, it activates -- in theory, based on the research, you would expect there to be some activation of that way of 9 thinking. 10 Q. But you didn't do anything to measure 11 or test that with respect to all women who became 12 pregnant at Bloomberg from 2002 to 2008, correct? 13 MS. WONG: Objection. 14 My task here and my -- what -- I Α. 15 didn't conduct a study, as you refer to it. 16 didn't systematically go through and determine 17 person by person, you know, whether -- you know, 18 I don't know even know that I, you know -- it's 19 not really part of what I was trying to do. 20 I didn't go through and systematically 21 try to determine and measure, as you put it, what 22 was said and the extent to which it was said. 23 0. Are you aware of any testimony by 24 women at Bloomberg who had positive experiences 25 during their pregnancies?

Page 373 1 Borgida And the basis for that claim is my 3 knowledge about the social science and my review of the case facts that I reviewed. So, you know, you can -- I mean, the opinion is stated. More likely than not. Q. So how many decisions do you believe more likely than not were affected 10 by gender stereotypic thinking at Bloomberg? 11 MS. WONG: Objection. 12 THE WITNESS: I don't have a 13 specific number in mind. 14 I did not, as I said earlier, do a 15 scientific study of Bloomberg to decide 16 how many decisions have been made. 17 Based on the science, based on my 18 expertise in the science, it is very 19 clear to me that there are certain 20 features that are more likely -- that 21 are likely to be associated with gender 22 stereotypic thinking. 23 The question is, are those 24 features present in this environment, is 25 there testimony from individuals in the